A SOFIC GROUP AWAY FROM AMENABLE GROUPS

YVES CORNULIER

ABSTRACT. We give an example of a sofic group, which is not a limit of amenable groups.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finitely generated group. A sequence (G_n) of finitely generated groups converges to G [Cha, Gri, Ch, ChG] if there exists a finitely generated free group F, and normal subgroups N, (N_n) of G such that

- $F/N \simeq G$; $F/N_n \simeq G_n$ for all n;
- (N_n) converges to N, i.e. for all $x \in N$, resp. $y \in F N$; eventually $x \in N_n$, resp. $y \notin N_n$.

The finitely generated group $G \simeq F/N$ is isolated if whenever such a situation occurs, eventually $N_n = N$.

Sofic groups were introduced by Gromov as "groups whose Cayley graph is initially subamenable" [Gro, p.157] and by B. Weiss in [Wei]. It will be enough for us to know that the class of sofic groups satisfies the following properties

- Subgroups of sofic groups are sofic;
- A group is sofic if (and only if) all its finitely generated subgroups are sofic
- If a group is sofic-by-amenable, i.e. has an amenable quotient with sofic kernel, then it is sofic as well (in particular, amenable implies sofic).
- If a finitely generated group G is a limit of a sequence of sofic groups (G_n) , then G is sofic as well. In particular, residually finite groups are sofic.

No group is known to fail to be sofic. The following question was asked by Gromov [Gro] and is also addressed by A. Thom [Th] and V. Pestov [Pe].

Question 1. Is every finitely generated sofic group a limit of amenable groups ("initially subamenable")?

Examples of sofic groups that are not residually amenable were obtained in [ES], but by construction, these groups are limits of finite groups. Gromov expected a negative answer to the general question and we confirm this expectation.

Theorem 2. There exists a finitely presented, non-amenable, isolated, (locally residually finite)-by-abelian finitely generated group.

Corollary 3. There exists a finitely presented sofic group that is not a limit of amenable groups.

Of course, being non-amenable and isolated, a group cannot be limit of amenable groups. Besides, by the remarks above, a (locally residually finite)-by-abelian group

Date: June 18, 2009.

has to be sofic (a group is locally X means that every finitely generated subgroup is X). Therefore the corollary follows from the theorem.

Examples of isolated groups were provided in [CGP]. In that paper, examples of infinite isolated groups with Property T were given [CGP, Paragraph 5.4], but it is not known if they are sofic. Also, some lattices in non-linear semisimple groups with finite center, are known to be isolated [CGP, Paragraph 5.8], but they appear as natural candidates to be examples of non-sofic groups (see the discussion in Section 3).

Fix a prime p, and let Γ be the group of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b & u_{02} & u_{03} & u_{04} \\ c & d & u_{12} & u_{13} & u_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & p^{n_2} & u_{23} & u_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & p^{n_3} & u_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z}), u_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}[1/p], n_2, n_3 \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

This group is a variant of a construction by Abels of an interesting finitely presented solvable group, consisting of the 4×4 southeast block of the above group (with d=1). Variations on Abels' group can also be found in [CGP, Section 5.4] and [C, Th].

Let M, resp $M_{\mathbf{Z}}$ be the subgroup of Γ consisting of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m_1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & m_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad m_1, m_2 \in \mathbf{Z}[1/p], \text{ resp. } \in \mathbf{Z}$$

The group $M \simeq \mathbf{Z}[1/p]^2$ is obviously normal in Γ , and the action of Γ by conjugation on M reduces to the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, so $M_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is normal as well in Γ .

Proposition 4. The group $\Gamma/M_{\mathbf{Z}}$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.

Acknowledgment. I thank Andreas Thom and Alain Valette for pointing out several mistakes in an early version of the paper.

2. Proof of Proposition 4

Let Υ be the normal subgroup of Γ consisting of elements for which $n_2 = n_3 = 0$. Define Λ as the normal subgroup of Γ consisting of elements for which

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.1. The group $\Gamma/M_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is sofic. As $\Gamma/\Upsilon \simeq \mathbf{Z}^2$, it is enough, to prove Proposition 4, to show that $\Upsilon/M_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is locally residually finite.

For $m \geq 0$, define Υ_m be the subset of Υ consisting of those matrices for which

$$u_{02}, u_{12}, u_{23}, u_{24} \in p^{-m} \mathbf{Z};$$

 $u_{03}, u_{13}, u_{24} \in p^{-2m} \mathbf{Z};$
 $u_{04}, u_{14} \in p^{-3m} \mathbf{Z}.$

This is a subgroup, as can be check by a direct calculation, and (Υ_m) is clearly an increasing sequence of subgroups of union Υ . Therefore it is enough for us to prove that $\Upsilon_m/M_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is residually finite.

Set $\Xi_m = \Upsilon_m \cap \Lambda$; this is a finitely generated nilpotent group, and $\Upsilon_m/M_{\mathbf{Z}} \simeq (\Xi_m/M_{\mathbf{Z}}) \rtimes \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Now it is known and easy to prove that any semidirect product of residually finite groups, where the normal factor is finitely generated, is also residually finite. So $\Upsilon_m/M_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is residually finite (the reader can check it is actually linear).

2.2. The group Γ is isolated. In [CGP], the following was proved.

Proposition 5. A finitely generated group G is isolated if and only if the two following conditions are fulfilled

- G is finitely presented;
- G is finitely discriminable, i.e. there exists a finite "discriminating" subset X of $G-\{1\}$ such that every normal subgroup $N \neq \{1\}$ of G satisfies $N \cap X \neq \emptyset$.

Then $\Lambda/M_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is finitely discriminable. The proof is easy and strictly analogous to the case of the Abels group (case of the 4×4 southeast block) [CGP, Proposition 5.7] and we skip it, just mentioning that we can pick X as the set of elements of order p in $M/M_{\mathbf{Z}}$.

Finite presentedness of $\Lambda/M_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is a consequence of that of Λ , which is also analogous to the proof in the case of the Abels' group done in [A1], but requires slightly more work, so let us give the argument, not relying on the direct proof of [A1] (that the reader can adapt), but on the general criterion of finite presentability from [A2].

Let $\Lambda(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ denote the same group as Λ , but with diagonal entries in \mathbf{Q}_p^* and other entries in \mathbf{Q}_p . Let \mathfrak{u} denote the Lie algebra of the unipotent part of $\Lambda(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. An element (n_2, n_3) of \mathbf{Z}^2 is called a weight of \mathfrak{u} if there exists $x \in \mathfrak{u}$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}(d_i)(x) = \lambda_i x$ with $\log |\lambda| = n_i$ for i = 2, 3, where d_2 , resp. d_3 , is the diagonal matrix (1, 1, p, 1, 1), resp. (1, 1, 1, p, 1).

Abels proves that a necessary and sufficient criterion for finite presentedness of Λ is that the two following conditions are satisfied

- For any two weights α , α' on $\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}]$, the segment in \mathbf{R}^2 joining α to α' does not contain 0;
- Zero is not a weight on the second homology group $H_2(\mathfrak{u})$.

Let (e_{ij}) denotes the obvious basis of \mathfrak{u} by elementary matrices. A basis for the vector space $\mathfrak{u}/[\mathfrak{u},\mathfrak{u}]$ is given by the eigenvectors e_{02} , e_{12} , e_{23} , e_{34} and we see immediately that the corresponding weights are (1,0) (twice), (-1,1), (0,-1), so the first condition is satisfied.

The second homology group $H_2(\mathfrak{u})$ of \mathfrak{u} is defined as $\operatorname{Ker}(d_2)/\operatorname{Im}(d_3)$, where the maps

$$\mathfrak{u} \wedge \mathfrak{u} \wedge \mathfrak{u} \stackrel{d_3}{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{u} \wedge \mathfrak{u} \stackrel{d_2}{\rightarrow} \mathfrak{u}$$

are defined by:

$$d_2(x_1\wedge x_2)=-[x_1,x_2] \ \text{ and }$$

$$d_3(x_1\wedge x_2\wedge x_3)=x_3\wedge [x_1,x_2]+x_2\wedge [x_3,x_1]+x_1\wedge [x_2,x_3].$$

The weights of \mathfrak{u} are the four ones described above, and the ones corresponding to the eigenvectors e_{03} , e_{13} , e_{24} , e_{04} , e_{14} are (0,1) (twice), (-1,0), and (0,0) (twice). If e,e' are eigenvectors of weight α,α' , then $e \wedge e'$ has weight $\alpha + \alpha'$. Therefore the subspace of $\mathfrak{u} \wedge \mathfrak{u}$ corresponding to the weight 0 possesses as a basis the five elements

$$e_{i2} \wedge e_{24}, \ e_{i3} \wedge e_{34} \ (i = 0, 1), \ e_{04} \wedge e_{14}.$$

It follows that the subspace of $Ker(d_2)$ corresponding to the weight 0 possesses as a basis the three elements

$$e_{i2} \wedge e_{24} - e_{i3} \wedge e_{34} \ (i = 0, 1), \ e_{04} \wedge e_{14},$$

so to prove that 0 is not a weight on $H_2(\mathfrak{u})$, we just have to check that these three elements belong to $\text{Im}(d_3)$, for instance

$$e_{i2} \wedge e_{24} - e_{i3} \wedge e_{34} = d_3(e_{i2} \wedge e_{23} \wedge e_{34});$$

 $e_{04} \wedge e_{14} = d_3(e_{12} \wedge e_{24} \wedge e_{04}),$

and the proof of Proposition 4, and therefore of Theorem 2, is complete.

3. Elementary sofic groups

It is natural to introduce the class of elementary sofic groups as the smallest class of groups satisfying all four properties mentioned in the introduction. By construction, the group $\Gamma/M_{\bf Z}$ is elementary sofic group. We leave as open

Question 6. Is there any sofic group that is not elementary sofic?

Of course, it is very reasonable to conjecture a positive answer. At least, we can give examples of non-(elementary sofic) groups. To this purpose, we have to give a constructive definition of this class. Let C_0 be the class consisting of the trivial group only. By transfinite induction, define C_{α} as the class of all groups in the following list

- groups all of whose finitely generated subgroups lie in $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} C_{\beta}$;
- finitely generated groups G limits of a sequence (G_n) with $G_n \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta$ for all n:
- groups G in an exact sequence $1 \to N \to G \to A \to 1$ with A amenable and $N \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$.

Define $C = \bigcup C_{\alpha}$. Clearly, it consists of elementary sofic groups. To see the converse, we have to check

Lemma 7. The class C is closed under taking subgroups and therefore exactly consists of elementary sofic groups.

Proof. If G lies in an extension of a group N by an amenable group A, then any subgroup of G lies in an extension of a subgroup of N by a subgroup of A. The only other (straightforward) verification is that if G is a limit of a sequence (G_n) and H is a finitely generated subgroup of G, then H is a limit of subgroups of G_n . This shows at least that C is closed under taking finitely generated subgroups, but in turn this implies that C is closed under taking general subgroups.

Lemma 8. Let G be a non-amenable, finitely generated group. Assume that every finite index subgroup of G is isolated, and that every amenable quotient group of G, is finite. Then G is not elementary sofic.

Proof. Suppose that G is elementary sofic. Let N be a normal subgroup with G/N amenable, with $N \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ with α minimal. As $N \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$, and $\alpha \geq 1$ since N is non-amenable, we have one of the three following possibilities

- We can write N in an extension $1 \to N' \to N \to A \to 1$ with A amenable and $N' \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$. Since N has finite index, the group N' has finitely many conjugates only, so contains a subgroup N'' with N'' normal in G and G/N'' is amenable as well. As N'' is a subgroup of N', we have $N'' \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$ as well, contradicting the minimality of α .
- All finitely generated subgroups of N belong to $\bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$. Since N is finitely generated, this would imply $N \in \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\beta}$ and cannot happen.
- The group N can be written as a non-trivial limit of groups. As N is isolated by assumption, this cannot happen.

Proposition 9. Let G be a finitely presented group. Assume that G is not residually finite, and that for some finite normal subgroup Z of G, the group G/Z is hereditary just infinite (every proper quotient of any finite index subgroup, is finite). Then G is isolated, and if moreover G is not amenable, then it is not elementary sofic.

Proof. As G is finitely presented, to prove that G is isolated it is enough to prove that G is finitely discriminable. As G is not residually finite, there exists an element $x \in G - \{1\}$ belonging to all finite index subgroups of G. We claim that $S = \{x\} \cup Z - \{1\}$ is a discriminating subset. Indeed, let N be a normal subgroup of G with $N \cap S = \emptyset$. If N is contained in Z, then clearly $N = \{1\}$. Otherwise, the projection of N into G/Z is non-trivial, so has finite index, so N itself has finite index. As $x \notin N$, this leads to a contradiction.

To prove that G (now assumed non-amenable) is not elementary sofic, we note that the assumptions on G are inherited by its finite index subgroups, and therefore the conditions of Lemma 8 are satisfied.

Examples of groups satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 9 are some non-residually finite lattices in (non-linear) simple Lie groups with finite center, see [CGP, Paragraph 5.8], and non-amenable finitely presented simple groups, like Thompson's group T (on the circle). Accordingly, these groups are not elementary sofic; it is unknown if they are sofic.

References

- [A1] H. Abels. An example of a finitely presentable solvable group. In: "Homological group theory, Proceedings Durham 1977", London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 36 (1979), 105–211.
- [A2] H. Abels. "Finite presentability of S-arithmetic groups. Compact presentability of solvable groups". Lecture Notes in Math. 1261, Springer, 1987.
- [C] Y. Cornulier. Finitely presentable, non-Hopfian groups with Kazhdan's Property and infinite outer automorphism group. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (2007) 951–959.
- [CGP] Y. Cornulier, L. Guyot, and W. Pitsch. On the isolated points in the space of groups. J. Algebra, 307(1) (2007) 254–227.
- [Cha] C. Chabauty. Limite d'ensembles et géométrie des nombres. Bull. Soc. Math. France 78 (1950), 143–151.

- [Ch] C. Champetier. L'espace des groupes de type fini. Topology, 39(4) (2000) 657–680.
- [ChG] C. Champetier and V. Guirardel. Limit groups as limits of free groups. Israel J. Math., 146 (2005) 1–75.
- [ES] G. Elek, E. Szabo. On sofic groups. Journal of Group Theory 9(2) (2006) 161–171.
- [Gri] R. Grigorchuk. Degrees of growth of finitely generated groups and the theory of invariant means. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 48(5) (1984) 939–985.
- [Gro] M. Gromov. Endomorphisms of symbolic algebraic varieties. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 1(2) (1999) 109–197.
- [Pe] V. Pestov. Hyperlinear and sofic groups: a brief guide. Bull. Symbolic Logic 14(4) (2008) 449–480.
- [Th] A. Thom. Examples of hyperlinear groups without factorization property. Preprint 2008, to appear in Groups Geom. Dynam.; arXiv 0810.2180.
- [Wei] B. Weiss. Sofic groups and dynamical systems. Sankhyā Ser. A 62 (2000), no. 3, 350–359. Ergodic theory and harmonic analysis (Mumbai, 1999).